

# Baffling Raffling Debaffled [version 2011-12-17 08:07]

Preston McAfee  
Yahoo! Research

---

[Editor's Note: The mechanism described in the puzzle is sometimes known as a Chinese Auction. It is also equivalent, as McAfee points out, to a special case of a Cournot problem. An alternative formulation is: I decide a bid  $x$ , pay it in full, and then win the good with probability  $x/X$  where  $X$  is the sum of all the bids. Generalizing the question in the original puzzle, this solves the game for an arbitrary vector of common-knowledge valuations, i.e., the complete-information case with  $n$  agents.]

Notation:  $x_i$  is  $i$ 's bid (the number of tickets bought by  $i$ ) and  $v_i$  is the value of  $i$ , indexed so that  $v_1 \geq v_2 \geq \dots$ . Let

$$X_{-i} = \sum_{j \neq i} x_j \text{ and } X = \sum_j x_j.$$

First, note that the payoff to  $i$ , given the choices of others, is  $\frac{x_i}{x_i + X_{-i}} v_i - x_i$ . The choice of  $x_i$  is restricted to  $x_i \geq 0$ , and probably should be restricted to integers. I will ignore this constraint. [This turns out to be moot for the specific (carefully constructed) valuations given in the puzzle.] Note that the individual maximization problem is equivalent to maximizing

$$\frac{x_i}{x_i + X_{-i}} - \frac{1}{v_i} x_i \equiv p(X)x_i - c_i x_i,$$

where  $p(X) = \frac{1}{X}$  and  $c_i = \frac{1}{v_i}$ . The solution to the problem is just the solution to the standard constant marginal cost Cournot problem, with a unitary elasticity demand curve and asymmetric firms. While this is a common graduate student exercise, the solution isn't necessarily well-behaved.

To characterize the equilibria, return to the profit functions  $\frac{x_i}{x_i + X_{-i}} - c_i x_i$ . This function is concave, so the first order conditions characterize the maximum. The first derivative is  $\frac{X_{-i}}{(x_i + X_{-i})^2} - c_i = \frac{X - x_i}{X^2} - c_i$ . As the values of  $c_i$  increase in  $i$  (being the reciprocals of the  $v$ 's), there will be a value  $n$  so that the first  $n$  have  $x_i > 0$  and all others have  $x_i = 0$ . Note that all the agents with positive production have a zero first order condition, or  $\frac{X - x_i}{X^2} - c_i = 0$ . Summing these gives

$$0 = \frac{nX - X}{X^2} - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i,$$

which solves for

$$\frac{1}{X} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i,$$

and note immediately from the first order conditions that  $n > 1$ . An equilibrium has been achieved if, given this value of  $X$ , the first  $n$  firms want to enter and produce positive amounts and no others do, which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{X} - c_n &\geq 0 \geq \frac{1}{X} - c_{n+1} \text{ or} \\ c_n &\leq \frac{1}{X} \leq c_{n+1} \text{ or} \\ c_n &\leq \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \leq c_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Once we have an equilibrium number of agents and  $\frac{1}{X} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i$ , we can use the first order conditions  $0 = \frac{X-x_i}{X^2}$ , or  $x_i = X - c_i X^2$  to generate the number of tickets purchased.

Using the Mathematica functions below, that yields  $\langle 119, 77, 21, 0 \rangle$  with profits of  $\langle 144.5, 42.35, 2.25, 0 \rangle$ .

Is the solution unique? Let  $p_n = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i$ . The computation given shows

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &\leq p_n \\ \iff c_n &\leq p_{n-1} \\ \implies c_{n-1} &\leq p_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus take the largest equilibrium  $n^{**}$ . For all  $k$  smaller,

$$c_k \leq p_k.$$

But consider any hypothetical smaller equilibrium  $n^*$ . As shown it satisfies

$$p_{n^*+1} \leq c_{n^*+1}$$

This would be a contradiction except for ties. If the  $c$ 's were strictly increasing we would have the first inequality strictly and be done. If some  $c$ 's are equal, the additional firms/agents produce/bid zero (since we are satisfying the price inequality with equality) and can be safely ignored.

The final question: how did the profits compare? The profit vector (seller, buyers) was  $\langle 336.35, 144.15, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$ , and under the raffle it is  $\langle 217, 144.5, 42.35, 2.25, 0, 0 \rangle$ . So Nora gained the most.

### Implementation of the solution in Mathematica

Following is Mathematica code to compute the equilibrium bids and profits. The helper function `bz` gives the hypothetical equilibrium bids (as a function of the vector of values) if we knew all agents would, in equilibrium, participate. Another helper function, `bs`, gives the equilibrium bids, without assuming full participation, if the values are in ascending order, which is of course WLOG. The `bs` function works by recursively re-solving for equilibrium bids with the subset of agents for which `bz` yields positive bids. Finally, `bids` gives the equilibrium bids for arbitrary values (by just sorting, calling `bs`, and then unsorting). Additionally, `prof` gives the expected profit to each agent in equilibrium.

```
bz[v_]:= With[{n = Length[v], r = Total[1/v]}, (n-1)(r-(n-1)/v)/r^2]
bs[v_]:= With[{x = bz[v]}, If[x[[1]]<0, Prepend[bs[Rest[v]], 0], x]]
bids[v_]:= bs[Sort@v][[Ordering@Ordering@v]]
prof[v_]:= With[{b = bids[v]}, v*b/Total[b] - b]
```